

Remarks

In the Final Office Action dated October 27, 2009, it is noted that claims 1, 4-9, and 12-16 are pending in this application; and that claims 1, 4-9, and 12-16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103.

By this response, independent claims 1 and 9 have been amended to include a further feature regarding the limiting of guest traffic. The amendments to these claims are supported by the original specification in the Abstract and in the specification on page 2 at the end of the section entitled "Brief Summary of the Invention," as well as on the first page of the incorporated provisional application from which the priority benefit is claimed. No new matter has been added.

Cited Art

The following references have been cited and applied in the present Office Action: U.S. Patent 7,177,637 to Liu et al. (hereinafter referenced as "*Liu*"); U.S. Patent 6,792,474 to Hopprich et al. (hereinafter referenced as "*Hopprich*"); and U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0157090 to Anton, Jr. (hereinafter referenced as "*Anton*").

Rejection of Claims 1, 4-9, and 12-16 under 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1, 4-9, and 12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being obvious over Liu in view of Hopprich. Claims 13-16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being obvious over Liu in view of Hopprich and Anton. These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Specifically, independent claim 1 has been amended to further recite: "limiting traffic from said guest according to a guest access policy" and independent claim 9 has been amended to further recite: "means for limiting traffic from said guest according to a guest access policy."

It is believed that none of the references teach, show, or suggest limiting guest traffic in any manner or subject to any guest access policy, as defined in independent claims 1 and 9.

In light of these remarks, it is submitted that the limitations of independent claims 1 and 9 and the claims dependent thereon would not have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art upon a reading of Liu, Hopprich, and Anton, either separately or in combination. Thus, it

is believed that claims 1, 4-9, and 12-16 are allowable under 35 U.S.C. §103. Withdrawal of these rejections is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that all the claims pending in this patent application are in condition for allowance. Entry of this amendment, reconsideration of this application, and allowance of all the claims are respectfully solicited.

If, however, the Examiner believes that there are any unresolved issues requiring adverse final action in any of the claims now pending in the application, it is requested that the Examiner contact the Applicants' attorney, so that a mutually convenient date and time for a telephonic interview may be scheduled for resolving such issues as expeditiously as possible.

Respectfully submitted,
Junbiao Zhang et al.

Date: March 1, 2010

/Wan Yee Cheung/
Wan Yee Cheung
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 42,410

U. S. Patent Operations
Thomson Licensing Inc.
P.O. Box 5312
Princeton, New Jersey 08540